

Algorithm 11.5 Modified version of BU-Message that incorporates message projection

```

Procedure EP-Message (
    i, // sending clique
    j // receiving clique
)
1   $\tilde{\sigma}_{i \rightarrow j} \leftarrow \text{M-project-distr}_{\mathcal{Q}_{i,j}}(\vec{\phi}_i)$ 
2  // marginalize and project the clique over the sepset
3  Remove old  $\tilde{\delta}_{i \rightarrow j}$  from  $\vec{\phi}_j$ 
4   $\tilde{\delta}_{i \rightarrow j} \leftarrow \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_{i \rightarrow j}}{\tilde{\delta}_{j \rightarrow i}}$ 
5  // divide by the message from from  $\mathcal{C}_j$  to  $\mathcal{C}_i$ 
6  Insert new  $\tilde{\delta}_{i \rightarrow j}$  into  $\vec{\phi}_j$ 

```

keep the previous message sent over the edge, but rather keep the messages $\tilde{\delta}_{i \rightarrow j}$ sent in both directions; this more refined bookkeeping is necessary for dividing by the correct message following the approximation. This algorithm is called *expectation propagation* (EP) for reasons that are explained later.

expectation
propagation

Example 11.8

To understand the behavior of this algorithm, consider the application of belief-update message propagation to example 11.7. Suppose we initialize all messages to 1 and use updates of the form equation (11.39). We start by propagating a message $\tilde{\sigma}_{1 \rightarrow 2} = \text{M-project-distr}_{1,2}(\tilde{\beta}_1)$ from \mathcal{C}_1 to \mathcal{C}_2 . Because $\tilde{\delta}_{1 \rightarrow 2}$ at this stage is 1, the resulting update is exactly the one we discussed in example 11.7. If we now perform propagation from \mathcal{C}_2 to \mathcal{C}_1 , we get the message $\tilde{\delta}_{2 \rightarrow 1}$ derived in example 11.7, multiplied by a constant (since $\tilde{\delta}_{1 \rightarrow 2}$ is uniform). At this point, as we discussed, the clique beliefs β_1 are a fairly reasonable approximation to the posterior.

Using the revised update rule, we now project $\tilde{\beta}_1$, and then divide by $\tilde{\delta}_{2 \rightarrow 1}$:

$$\tilde{\delta}_{1 \rightarrow 2} \leftarrow \frac{\text{M-project-distr}_{1,2}(\tilde{\beta}_1)}{\tilde{\delta}_{2 \rightarrow 1}}.$$

This quotient, which is thensubsequently used to update \mathcal{C}_2 , is very different from the previous update $\tilde{\delta}_{2 \rightarrow 1}$. Specifically, The marginal $\tilde{\beta}_1$ at this stage puts a posterior of $0.642 + 0.031 = 0.673$ on a^1 , and 0.642 on b^1 . To avoid double-counting the contribution of $\tilde{\delta}_{2 \rightarrow 1}$, we need to divide this marginal by this message. After we normalize messages, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\delta}_{1 \rightarrow 2}[a^1] &\leftarrow \frac{\frac{0.673}{0.904}}{\frac{0.673}{0.904} + \frac{0.327}{0.086}} = \frac{0.744}{4.15} = 0.179 \\ \tilde{\delta}_{1 \rightarrow 2}[a^0] &\leftarrow \frac{\frac{0.327}{0.086}}{\frac{0.673}{0.904} + \frac{0.327}{0.086}} = \frac{3.406}{4.15} = 0.821 \\ \tilde{\delta}_{1 \rightarrow 2}[b^1] &\leftarrow \frac{\frac{0.642}{0.173}}{\frac{0.642}{0.173} + \frac{0.358}{0.827}} = \frac{3.710}{4.413} = 0.895 \\ \tilde{\delta}_{1 \rightarrow 2}[b^0] &\leftarrow \frac{\frac{0.358}{0.827}}{\frac{0.642}{0.173} + \frac{0.358}{0.827}} = \frac{0.433}{4.144} = 0.105. \end{aligned}$$