

Algorithm 10.3 Calibration using belief propagation in clique tree

```

Procedure CTree-BU-Calibrate (
     $\Phi$ , // Set of factors
     $\mathcal{T}$  // Clique tree over  $\Phi$ 
)
1 Initialize-CTree
2 while exists an uninformed clique in  $\mathcal{T}$ 
3   Select  $(i-j) \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{T}}$ 
4   BU-Message( $i, j$ )
5   return  $\{\beta_i\}$ 

Procedure Initialize-CTree (
)
1 for each clique  $C_i$ 
2    $\beta_i \leftarrow \prod_{\phi: \alpha(\phi)=i} \phi$ 
3 for each edge  $(i-j) \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{T}}$ 
4    $\mu_{i,j} \leftarrow \mathbf{1}$ 

Procedure BU-Message (
     $i$ , // sending clique
     $j$  // receiving clique
)
1  $\sigma_{i \rightarrow j} \leftarrow \sum_{C_i - S_{i,j}} \beta_i$ 
2 // marginalize the clique over the sepset
3  $\beta_j \leftarrow \beta_j \cdot \frac{\sigma_{i \rightarrow j}}{\mu_{i,j}}$ 
4  $\mu_{i,j} \leftarrow \sigma_{i \rightarrow j}$ 

```

The precise algorithm is shown in algorithm 10.3. Note that, as written, the message passing algorithm is underspecified: in line 3, we can select any pair of cliques C_i and C_j between which we will pass a message. Interestingly, we can make this choice arbitrarily, without damaging the correctness of the algorithm. For example, if C_i (for some reason) passes the same message to C_j a second time, the process of dividing out by the stored message reduces the message actually passed to $\mathbf{1}$, so that it has no influence. Furthermore, if C_i passes a message to C_j based on partial information (that is, without taking into consideration all of its incoming messages), and then resends a more updated message later on, the effect is identical to simply sending the updated message once. Moreover, at convergence, regardless of the message passing steps used, we necessarily have a calibrated clique tree. This property follows from the fact that, in order for all message updates to have no effect, we need to have